

Antianginal medications and diagnostic accuracy of myocardial perfusion imaging

Nikant Kumar Sabharwal, BSc, BMBCh, MRCP, and
Avijit Lahiri, MBBS, MSc, MRCP, FESC, FACC

See related editorial, p 345

The effect of antianginal drugs on myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) has been hotly contested since the technique was first developed.^{1,2} In this issue of the *Journal*, Böttcher et al³ have added to the continuing debate by comparing myocardial blood flow, measured by positron emission tomography (PET), in areas subtended by atherosclerotic arteries in patients receiving different anti-ischemic agents. In particular, they assessed the attenuation in dipyridamole-induced hyperemic flow resulting from various anti-ischemic agents. The effect of nitroglycerin (NTG) was to increase flow in both stenosed and nonstenosed areas of myocardium. Metoprolol reduced both resting and hyperemic flow in all areas of the myocardium. Amlodipine did not affect resting or hyperemic flow in areas of myocardium subtended by either stenosed or nonstenosed coronary arteries. This reduction in myocardial blood flow with certain anti-ischemic agents has led investigators to conclude that β -blockers may reduce diagnostic sensitivity in the setting of MPI with vasodilator stress. Although this study was performed using PET, by far the greatest clinical use of MPI is with single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT).

In the pharmaceutical armamentarium there are many commonly prescribed agents with varying therapeutic effects on myocardial ischemia. The current list runs to β -blockers, calcium channel blockers, nitrates, potassium channel activators (nicorandil), and newer agents such as fatty acid oxidase inhibitors (ranolazine).⁴ β -Blockers and certain calcium channel blockers (diltiazem and verapamil)⁵ exert much of their antianginal effect by reducing heart rate, thereby decreasing myocardial oxygen demand. Calcium channel blockers (eg,

amlodipine) and nitrates^{6,7} act mainly by producing vasodilatation and afterload reduction. Calcium channel blockers also have a direct effect on the ischemic myocyte. Nicorandil has a nitrate-like action and also inhibits calcium entry into myocytes via the activation of potassium efflux, resulting in arteriolar and venous dilatation. Ranolazine is a new directly acting anti-ischemic agent that does not affect heart rate or blood pressure and has no negative inotropic effect.

In those with significant obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD), dynamic exercise produces a supply-demand mismatch with subsequent ST-segment depression, the hallmark of myocardial ischemia. All of the drugs mentioned above have a potent effect on increasing exercise capacity⁸ and reducing both anginal attacks and the magnitude of ST-segment depression.⁹⁻¹¹ These changes may be brought about by a myriad of pharmacologic effects. It is likely that these agents, at adequate doses, will reduce angina and the total ischemic burden during exercise treadmill testing. Anti-ischemic drugs may blunt this flow heterogeneity but are unlikely to reduce the magnitude of flow difference between regions supplied by diseased and non-flow-limiting vessels. Therefore it is not surprising that the total ischemic burden will be reduced. Exercise MPI may therefore fail to induce flow differences and thus fail to detect or underestimate the extent of underlying CAD.

However, many patients undergo pharmacologic MPI because of inadequate levels of exercise stress, usually attenuated by physical disability, chronic respiratory disease, chronotropic incompetence, or rate-slowing drugs. In these situations vasodilator stress (dipyridamole or adenosine) is used. Vasodilators do not usually induce ischemia per se; however, coronary flow increases 3 to 5 times above baseline levels, which is higher than that achieved with dynamic exercise.¹² Nevertheless, the supply-demand mismatch inherent in exercise stress will not be present during vasodilator stress. Because β -blockers mainly work through attenuation of heart rate response, conventional thought leads us to believe that flow heterogeneity resulting from vasodilator stress should not be affected by concurrent use of these agents.

Another proposed indicator of ischemic risk¹³—exercise-induced left ventricular (LV) chamber dilatation—is usually not detected by the use of solitary

From the Department of Cardiac Research, Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow, Middlesex, United Kingdom.

Reprint requests: Avijit Lahiri, MBBS, MSc, MRCP, FESC, FACC, Consultant Cardiologist and Director of Cardiac Research, Department of Cardiac Research, Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow, Middlesex, United Kingdom, HA1 3UJ; nph@cardiac-research.org.

J Nucl Cardiol 2003;10:433-35.

Copyright © 2003 by the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology.

1071-3581/2003/\$30.00 + 0

doi:10.1016/S1071-3581(03)00531-2

vasodilator stress. LV chamber dilation is a recognized phenomenon at peak stress with dobutamine echocardiography¹⁴ and more recently with post-stress gated technetium 99m SPECT.¹⁵ Therefore dipyridamole and adenosine do not mimic exercise stress in terms of flow mismatch and chamber dilation. Vasodilators are thus disadvantaged by the lack of functional data (exercise time and ST-segment changes) and by the rarity of ischemia-induced LV volume changes. Because of the multiple pharmacologic effects of dobutamine, one has to consider that various anti-ischemic drugs will also affect this stress modality.

The overall annual cardiac mortality rate for patients with a normal SPECT study is well established at less than 1% for exercise MPI.¹⁶⁻²⁰ However, for vasodilator stress, outcome studies seem to suggest a slightly higher overall cardiac mortality rate for a normal scan.²¹⁻²⁵ The results from the well-designed study of Bøttcher et al³ suggest that metoprolol reduces resting and dipyridamole-induced hyperemic myocardial blood flow. This has significant implications for the withdrawal of β -blockers and other rate-limiting compounds before stress testing, as well as documenting the use of these drugs on any MPI report produced by a nuclear cardiology laboratory. There is understandable clinical unease among the cardiology community in withdrawing β -blockers before stress testing, especially if withdrawn too abruptly.²⁶

When MPI is performed for prognostic reasons (eg, after myocardial infarction,²⁷ success of revascularization, and heart failure), it is probably appropriate to study these patients while undergoing maximal medical therapy, because the scan outcome will provide a clinically relevant diagnosis. In contrast, when MPI is used to provide or confirm a diagnosis of obstructive CAD in those with an intermediate pretest likelihood, then it will be prudent to discontinue β -blockers, diltiazem, and verapamil for at least 3 to 5 half-lives before stress testing so that an adequate heart rate response is obtained.

The study from Bøttcher et al³ clearly confirms the original work by Brown et al²⁸ demonstrating that diseased and normal coronary arteries and arterioles both dilate with NTG. Nitrates have a clear and important use in clinical cardiology and perfusion imaging, especially in augmenting flow in hibernating myocardium to assess viability.²⁹ As mentioned previously, nitrates dilate epicardial coronary arteries and arterioles and decrease LV preload and afterload, decreasing subendocardial compression and improving subendocardial perfusion. This would increase delivery of tracer in low-flow states, reducing total ischemic burden, which is disadvantageous in the diagnosis of obstructive CAD. Clearly,

nitrates, especially NTG, can be safely reduced in most cases; because the drug has a relatively short half-life, a 24-hour nitrate-free period should suffice. However, it is imperative that a well-constructed and large-scale prospective study is designed to assess fully the implications of antianginal drugs and MPI. In the meantime we should revisit this area in our own practice and probably withdraw rate-slowing anti-ischemic drugs in patients referred for diagnostic testing but maintain these drugs when testing patients for prognosis. Meanwhile, the debate continues.

Acknowledgment

The authors have indicated they have no financial conflicts of interest.

References

1. Brown KA, Rowen M. Impact of antianginal medications, peak heart rate and stress level on the prognostic value of a normal exercise myocardial perfusion imaging study. *J Nucl Med* 1993; 34:1467-71.
2. Sharir T, Rabinowitz B, Livschitz S, et al. Underestimation of extent and severity of coronary artery disease by dipyridamole stress thallium-201 single-photon emission computed tomographic myocardial perfusion imaging in patients taking antianginal drugs. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;31:1540-6.
3. Bøttcher M, Refsgaard J, Madsen MM, et al. Effect of antianginal medication on resting myocardial perfusion and pharmacologically induced hyperemia. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2003;10:344-51.
4. Jain D, Dasgupta P, Hughes LO, Lahiri A, Raftery EB. Ranolazine (RS-43285): a preliminary study of a new anti-anginal agent with selective effect on ischaemic myocardium. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1990;38:111-4.
5. Subramanian VB, Lahiri A, Paramasivan R, Raftery EB. Verapamil in chronic stable angina. A controlled study with computerized multistage treadmill exercise. *Lancet* 1980;1:841-4.
6. Reichek N, Sutton MS. Long-acting nitroglycerin for angina, 1982: old dog, new tricks. *Ann Intern Med* 1982;97:774-6.
7. Fallen EL, Nahmias C, Scheffel A, et al. Redistribution of myocardial blood flow with topical nitroglycerin in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1995;91:1381-8.
8. Thadani U, Parker JO. Influence of glyceryl trinitrate during supine and upright exercise in patients with angina pectoris. *Br Heart J* 1978;40:1229-36.
9. Thadani U, Hamilton SF, Olson E, et al. Transdermal nitroglycerin patches in angina pectoris. Dose titration, duration of effect, and rapid tolerance. *Ann Intern Med* 1986;105:485-92.
10. Rodrigues EA, Lahiri A, Hughes LO, et al. Antianginal efficacy of carvedilol, a beta-blocking drug with vasodilating activity. *Am J Cardiol* 1986;58:916-21.
11. Hughes LO, Rose EL, Lahiri A, Raftery EB. Comparison of nicorandil and atenolol in stable angina pectoris. *Am J Cardiol* 1990;66:679-82.
12. Gould KL. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenoses by myocardial perfusion imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilatation. I. Physiologic basis and experimental validation. *Am J Cardiol* 1978;41:267-78.

13. Hickman M, Jeetley P, Sabharwal NK, et al. Extent of reversible myocardial perfusion abnormality determines the degree of post-ischaemic stunning [abstract]. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2003;10:S39.
14. Attenhofer CH, Pellikka PA, Oh JK, et al. Comparison of ischemic response during exercise and dobutamine echocardiography in patients with left main coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996;27:1171-7.
15. Sharir T, Germano G, Kang X, et al. Prediction of myocardial infarction versus cardiac death by gated myocardial perfusion SPECT: risk stratification by the amount of stress-induced ischemia and the poststress ejection fraction. *J Nucl Med* 2001;42:831-7.
16. Hachamovitch R, Berman DS, Kiat H, et al. Value of stress myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography in patients with normal resting electrocardiograms: an evaluation of incremental prognostic value and cost-effectiveness [see comments]. *Circulation* 2002;105:823-9.
17. Wahl JM, Hakki AH, Iskandrian AS. Prognostic implications of normal exercise thallium 201 images. *Arch Intern Med* 1985;145:253-6.
18. Stratmann HG, Williams GA, Wittry MD, Chaitman BR, Miller DD. Exercise technetium-99m sestamibi tomography for cardiac risk stratification of patients with stable chest pain. *Circulation* 1994;89:615-22.
19. Hachamovitch R, Berman DS, Kiat H, et al. Exercise myocardial perfusion SPECT in patients without known coronary artery disease: incremental prognostic value and use in risk stratification. *Circulation* 1996;93:905-14.
20. Soman P, Parsons A, Lahiri N, Lahiri A. The prognostic value of a normal Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT study in suspected coronary artery disease. *J Nucl Cardiol* 1999;6:252-6.
21. Hendel RC, Layden JJ, Leppo JA. Prognostic value of dipyridamole thallium scintigraphy for evaluation of ischemic heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1990;15:109-16.
22. Stratmann HG, Tamesis BR, Younis LT, Wittry MD, Miller DD. Prognostic value of dipyridamole technetium-99m sestamibi myocardial tomography in patients with stable chest pain who are unable to exercise. *Am J Cardiol* 1994;73:647-52.
23. Hachamovitch R, Berman DS, Kiat H, et al. Incremental prognostic value of adenosine stress myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography and impact on subsequent management in patients with or suspected of having myocardial ischemia. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;80:426-33.
24. Heller GV, Herman SD, Travin MI, et al. Independent prognostic value of intravenous dipyridamole with technetium-99m sestamibi tomographic imaging in predicting cardiac events and cardiac-related hospital admissions. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:1202-8.
25. Lette J, Bertrand C, Gossard D, et al. Long-term risk stratification with dipyridamole imaging. *Am Heart J* 1995;129:880-6.
26. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Timothy BJ, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for exercise testing: summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1997 Exercise Testing Guidelines). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;40:1531-40.
27. Basu S, Senior R, Raftery EB, Lahiri A. The association between cardiac events and myocardial ischaemia following thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction and the impact of carvedilol. *Eur Heart J* 1996;17(Suppl F):43-7.
28. Brown BG, Bolson E, Petersen RB, Pierce CD, Dodge HT. The mechanisms of nitroglycerin action: stenosis vasodilatation as a major component of the drug response. *Circulation* 1981;64:1089-97.
29. Senior R, Kaul S, Raval U, Lahiri A. Impact of revascularization and myocardial viability determined by nitrate enhanced Tc-99m sestamibi and Tl-201 imaging on mortality and functional outcome in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2002;9:454-62.